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Abstract. The leading empirical orthogonal function of the wintertime sea-level pressure field is more strongly coupled to surface air temperature fluctuations over the Eurasian continent than the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). It resembles the NAO in many respects; but its primary center of action covers more of the Arctic, giving it a more zonally symmetric appearance. Coupled to strong fluctuations at the 50-hPa level, on the intraseasonal, interannual, and interdecadal time scales, this "Arctic Oscillation" (AO) can be interpreted as the surface signature of modulations in the strength of the polar vortex aloft. It is proposed that the zonally asymmetric surface air temperature and mid-tropospheric circulation anomalies observed in association with the AO may be secondary baroclinic features induced by the land-sea contrasts. The same modal structure is mirrored in the pronounced trends in winter and springtime surface air temperature, sea-level pressure, and 50-hPa height over the past 30 years: parts of Eurasia have warmed by as much as several K, sea-level pressure over parts of the Arctic has fallen by 4 hPa, and the core of the lower stratospheric polar vortex has cooled by several K. These trends can be interpreted as the development of a systematic bias in one of the atmosphere's dominant, naturally occurring modes of variability.

Introduction

There is a growing body of evidence indicating that the stratospheric polar vortex is implicated in some of the interannual and secular variability of climate at the earth's surface. Baldwin et al. [1994], Perreault and Graf [1995], Cheng and Dunkerton [1995], and Kistler et al. [1996] have all documented the existence of coupling between the strength of the nearly zonally symmetric polar night jet at the 50-hPa level and a more wavelike pattern reminiscent of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) at the 500-hPa level. Hurrell [1989] showed that the NAO in the winter average air temperature (SAT) over much of Eurasia: negative sea-level pressure (SLP) anomalies over Iceland and enhanced westerlies across the North Atlantic at 50°N (i.e., the positive polarity of the NAO) are associated with positive SAT anomalies extending from Great Britain and Scandinavia far into Siberia. Hurrell [1996] went on to demonstrate that the upward trend in the SAT over the past 30 years accounts for much of the warming in SAT averaged over the domain poleward of 20°N. Kodera and Yamazaki [1994], Graf et al. [1995], and Kodera and Koda [1997] have suggested the possibility of a dynamical linkage between the recent wintertime warming over Eurasia and a strengthening of the polar night jet and Kocken and Mao [1992], Graf et al. [1994], and Kodera [1994] have invoked similar linkages to explain the observed positive SAT anomalies over Eurasia during the winters following major volcanic eruptions. In this short contribution we will offer our own analysis and interpretation of these relationships based on the datasets listed in Table 1.

Results

In this section we construct a three-dimensional picture of the primary mode of wintertime variability over the Northern Hemisphere working from the ground up. The primary field variable in the analysis is SLP (p), expressed in terms of the equivalent height of the 1000-hPa surface (Z_{1000}) above sea level using the approximate relation Z_{1000} = 8p(1000) where Z_{1000} is expressed in meters and p in hPa.

As the reference variable we use the leading principal component of the wintertime (November-April) monthly mean SLP anomaly field over the domain poleward of 20°N, which accounts for 22% of the variance and is well separated from the other eigenvalues as per the criterion of North et al. [1982]. The associated leading vector or empirical orthogonal function (EOF) is shown in the lower right panel of Fig. 1. First identified by Lorenz [1951] in zonally averaged SLP data and subsequently by Katsetos [1970], Wallace and Gutzler [1981], and Trenberth and Hurrell [1981] in gridded data, this mode involves a seesaw between the Arctic basin and parts of the surrounding zonal ring. It is a robust pattern that dominates both the intraseasonal (month-to-month) and the interannual variability throughout the entire 98-year SLP record, as documented in Fig. 2. In seasonally averaged data for the past 30 years the Pacific center of action is partially obscured by interdecadal trends (discussed later). However this feature can be recovered by removing the linear trend from the data at each gridpoint before performing the EOF analysis (Fig. 2, right panel). Although this pattern incorporates many of the features of the NAO, its slightly larger horizontal scale and higher degree of zonal symmetry render it more analogous to the leading EOF of SLP in the Southern Hemisphere [Rogers and van Loon, 1982], and more like a surface signature of the polar vortex aloft. To distinguish the pattern in Fig. 1 from the more regional NAO we will herein refer to it as the Arctic Oscillation (AO) and the associated principal component time series as the AO index. This subtle distinction, first hinted at in the analysis of Baldwin et al. [1994], is essential for appreciating the strength of the linkages discussed in the following paragraphs.

The lower left panel of Fig. 1 shows wintertime monthly mean SAT anomalies over land regressed upon the AO index. The pattern is similar to Fig. 3 of Hurrell [1995], but the correlations in Table 2 indicate that the AO accounts for a substantially larger fraction of the variance of Northern Hemisphere SAT than the NAO. Patterns derived by regressing wintertime monthly mean geopotential height fields onto the normalized AO index are also shown in Fig. 1, together with the corresponding expansion coefficient time series generated by projecting monthly fields upon the respective regression patterns and averaging them over winter seasons. The SLP and 50-hPa height patterns are remarkably similar and their expansion coefficient time series are strongly correlated, as indicated in Table 3. The 50-hPa pattern is indicative of fluctuations in the strength of the polar night jet (Fig. 3). This 50-hPa height regression pattern is virtually identical to the leading EOF of 50-hPa height shown in Fig. 4. In fact, a SLP pattern virtually identical to the leading EOF in Fig. 1 can be recovered in the inverse manner, by regressing SLP upon the leading principal component of 50-hPa height. The geopotential height anomalies at the 50-hPa level are almost five times as strong as the 1000-hPa height anomalies associated with this mode. Since the spatial patterns at the two levels are similar, it follows that energy density (the product of density and squared amplitude) is nearly invariant over this height range. Regression patterns based on subsequent EOFs of SLP (not shown) do not exhibit any upward amplification from the troposphere to the stratosphere.

The 500-hPa height pattern in Fig. 1 is the sum of the SLP
Table 1. Datasets used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Period of record</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface air temperature (SAT)</td>
<td>5° x 5°</td>
<td>1900-6/1997</td>
<td>See Jones [1994] and Parker et al. [1995].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea level pressure (SLP)</td>
<td>5° x 5°</td>
<td>1900-4/1997</td>
<td>NCAR Data Support Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geopotential height and tropopause pressure</td>
<td>2.5° x 2.5°</td>
<td>1958-6/1997</td>
<td>NCEP/NCAR Reanalysis via NOAA Climate Diagnostics Center</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Left six panels: Regression maps for geopotential height (Z), tropopause pressure, 1000-500-hPa thickness and surface air temperature (SAT) anomalies, as indicated, based upon the leading principal component of wintertime (Nov-April) monthly mean sea-level pressure anomalies (the AO index) for 1947-1997. Contour intervals (expressed in units per standard deviation of the AO index) are: 10 m (-5.5, 15...) for SLP (expressed as $Z_{1000}$, $Z_{500}$ and $Z_{200}$-Z$_{1000}$, 30 m (-45, -15, 15...) for $Z_{500}$, 5-hPa (2.5, 5, 7.5...)) for tropopause pressure; 0.5 K (-0.75, -0.25, 0.25...) for SAT. Negative contours are dashed. Extrema are labeled in the appropriate units. Top right, time series: (Top to bottom) Normalized expansion coefficient time series for the $Z_{1000}$, $Z_{500}$, $Z_{200}$-Z$_{1000}$ and SLP regression maps depicted at left; normalized Eurasian mean (40-70°N, 0-140°E) SAT anomalies. Time series are wintertime (Nov-April) seasonal means based on monthly data from 1958-1997. Correlation statistics are presented in Table 3. Horizontal axes represent the means for years 1958-1967.

(or 1000 hPa) pattern below it plus the 1000-500-hPa thickness pattern to the left of it. The features in the thickness field are more clearly reflected in the 500-hPa height field than in the more zonally symmetric SLP and 50-hPa height fields. It follows that the temperature anomalies in the 500-50-hPa layer must largely cancel those in the lower troposphere. This compensation is reflected in the pattern of tropopause pressure regressed upon the AO index (Fig. 1, upper left panel). The 1000-500-hPa thickness anomalies bear a strong resemblance to the SAT anomalies in the panel below. The interrelationships between the various patterns shown in Fig. 1 are entirely consistent with the results of the previous studies cited in the Introduction, but our inclusion of the additional fields in the analysis reveals more clearly a three-dimensional modal structure consisting of two components: a deep equivalent barotropic signature extending high into the stratosphere and a tropospherically confined baroclinic signature. The equivalent barotropic signature is dominated by

Figure 2. Left to right: Normalized leading EOFs of wintertime (Nov-April) SLP (month-to-month variability within winters) 1947-1997; seasonal averages 1919-1968; detrended as described in text. Normalized leading EOFs of intraseasonal (Nov-April) anomalies for intraseasonal (Nov-April) anomalies for intraseasonal variability within winters) 1947-1997; seasonal averages 1919-1968; detrended as described in text.

Table 2. Correlation statistics ($r$) for the NAO* and AO from 1900-1995 (Nov-April monthly values), $r$ (NAO, AO) = 0.69.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$T_{NW}$ Land</th>
<th>$T_{NW}$ Land/Ocean</th>
<th>$T_{Euras}^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAO</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AO</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The normalized monthly mean sea level pressure (SLP) difference between Ponta Delgada, Azores and Stykkisholmur, Iceland.

b If Lisbon is substituted for the NAO Azores station (i.e., see Hurrell and Van Loon, 1997), and Dec-March means are used, then correlations with Eurasian mean SAT are 0.57 for the NAO and 0.65 for the AO.
Table 3. Correlations (r) with AO index (Nov-Apr 1958-97).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AO</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Interannual</th>
<th>Intraseasonal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z_{500}</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_{925}</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{surface}</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z_{500} Z_{1000}</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The zonally symmetric component, whereas the baroclinic signature is more wavelike. These two signatures are not separate modes, but components of a single modal structure, as evidenced by the high correlations between the AO index and the expansion coefficient time series of the 1000-500-hPa thickness and 500-hPa height patterns (Table 3).

The intraseasonal and the detrended interannual variability (not shown) exhibit virtually identical vertical structures. The coupling between the 50-hPa height and SLP fields is not as strong in the intraseasonal variability (Table 3), but it is no less statistically significant to the larger number of degrees of freedom. Both intraseasonal and interannual variability exhibit substantial upward amplification.

Figure 5 shows the AO index and the expansion coefficient time series of the SAT field in Fig. 1 for the more extended period of record 1900-1997. Strong coupling is evident between the AO and the time series of its attendant SAT field on the interannual timescale, and both time series exhibit a trend over the past 30 years with falling SLP over the Arctic and warming over Siberia. These trends are also clearly evident in Fig. 1.

Thirty-year (1968-1997) linear trend maps for wintertime averaged SAT, SLP, and 50-hPa height (Fig. 6) strongly resemble the modal structure depicted in Fig. 1, but for one notable disagreement: the 30-year SLP trend is negative across both the Arctic and the North Pacific, whereas in the modal structure of Fig. 1 the SLP anomalies in the two regions are of opposite sign. The pressure decreases over the North Pacific were large enough to cancel the weak center of action over the North Pacific in the leading EOF of the seasonal-mean SLP field during this period: hence the need for the removal of the linear trend to recover the AO pattern in the right panel of Fig. 2. ENSO-like interdecadal variability documented by Trenberth and Hurrell [1994] and Zhang et al. [1997] has contributed to this anomalous behavior in the Pacific sector. Despite these exceptions, the spatial correlation between the SLP trend and the EOF in Fig. 1 is 0.77. The observed wintertime warming over Eurasia and the cooling over Labrador [IPCC, 1995], the persistent cyclonic surface wind anomalies over the Beaufort Gyre [Walsh, 1996], the increasing prevalence of the high index phase of the NAO [Hurrell, 1995], and the strengthening of the stratospheric polar jet [Graf et al., 1993; Kodera and Kodile, 1997] in recent years are all related to the deepening of the polar vortex from the earth’s surface to the lower stratosphere. The nearly five-fold amplification of the geopotential height anomalies from the troposphere to the 50-hPa level implies that the lower stratosphere over the Arctic has cooled by several degrees. Not only are the spatial patterns of the trend similar to those associated with the year-to-year variability, the relative amplitudes of the trends of the three fields in Fig. 6 are also quite comparable to those in Fig. 1: in each case the 30-year trend amounts to about 1.25 standard deviations of the month-to-month variability. Hence, the observed trends can be viewed as a bias in a preferred mode of month-to-month variability.

**Interpretation**

Anecdotal evidence of deep vertical coupling in the wintertime polar vortex includes events such as the dramatic stratospheric warming of January 1977, which was accompanied by the formation of a strong surface anticyclone over the Arctic [Quig et al., 1977]. However, it has not been until the relatively recent studies cited in the Introduction that convincing statistical evidence of this coupling has been forthcoming.

The analysis in the previous section confirms the existence of deep vertical coupling in the wintertime polar vortex and its relation to SAT anomalies over Eurasia and the Northwest Atlantic during an extended (November-April) winter season. Whereas the studies of Baldwin et al. [1994], Perlwitz and Graf [1995], Cheng and Dunkerton [1995] and Kitoh et al. [1996] have represented the tropospheric circulation in terms of the 500- or 850-hPa height fields, this study has emphasized the SLP field. We have shown that fluctuations in the intensity of the stratospheric circulation are linked to the leading EOF of SLP, a robust, quasi-zonally symmetric mode of variability that has received much less attention than the more wavelike teleconnection patterns that dominate the leading EOFs of the mid-tropospheric geopotential height field. This deep vertical coupling evidently prevails through a wide range of frequencies and it is simulated in recent modeling studies of Kitoh et al. [1996], Kodera et al. [1996], and Voldoin and Gisin [1998].

The strengthening of the polar vortex over the past 30 years, unrelated to any known tropospheric forcing, has led to speculation that anthropogenically induced temperature changes at stratospheric levels might somehow be responsible. Mindful of the numerous unsuccessful attempts to establish a dynamical basis for “downward control” of the tropospheric circulation, we are not convinced that these trends originate in the stratosphere. Nevertheless, it is of interest to Figure 6. Left to right: 30-year (1968-1997) linear trends (as indicated) for: SAT anomalies; SLP (expressed as Z_{1000}) anomalies; 50-hPa geopotential height (Z) anomalies. All maps are based upon wintertime averaged (Nov-April) data. Contour intervals are (expressed in units per 30 years): 0.5 K (-0.25, 0.25, 0.75... ) for SAT; 10 m (-15, -5, 5...) for Z_{1000}; 30m (-45, -15, 15,...) for Z_{500}. Negative contours are dashed.


Lorenz, E.N. Seasonal and irregular variations of the Northern Hemisphere sea-level pressure profile, *J. Meteor., 8*, 52-59, 1951.
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